



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

OMAR VIDAL BRISENO,

Defendant.

) Case No. 8:11-cr-00133-JVS

) Case No. 8:11-cr-00148-JVS

) ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER
) HEARING [Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.1(a)(6); 18
) U.S.C. § 3143(a)]

The defendant having been arrested in this District pursuant to a warrant issued by the United States District Court for the Central District of California for alleged violations of the terms and conditions of supervision; and

The Court having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a),

The Court finds that:

- A. (X) The defendant has not met defendant's burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that he is not likely to flee if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based on: nature of current allegations, including alleged illegal failure to report to a Court-ordered residential program designated by Probation; prior alleged drug use; prior violation; prior criminal history

1 and

2 B. (X) The defendant has not met defendant's burden of establishing by clear and
3 convincing evidence that he is not likely to pose a danger to the safety of any other
4 person or the community if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is
5 based on: nature of current allegations, including alleged illegal failure to report to a Court-
6 ordered residential program designated by Probation; prior alleged drug use; prior violation; prior
7 criminal history

8
9 IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending further
10 revocation proceedings.

11
12 Dated: January 31, 2023

13
14 
15 JOHN D. EARLY
16 United States Magistrate Judge
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28